

**Public Hearing, September 28, 2020 – Land Disposition (Road Ends)
Resident Correspondence Previously Received on Council Agendas, up to and including September 14, 2020**

| Date Received | Author | Support, oppose, or commentary | Summary of feedback | Council meeting date |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| March 24, 2020 | Sherry Chisholm | Support | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Urgency for involvement in financial dilemma the Village faces - Finds the sale of road ends to be a suitable option for this - Suggests selling as many as needed to regain financial stability - Need to clear Village water debt in order to gain access to loans if needed | April 6, 2020 |
| March 30, 2020 | Shannon Wightman | Support | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Must sell as many road ends as possible in order to generate revenue for Belcarra's finances | April 6, 2020 |
| April 3, 2020 | Deborah Struk | Support | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern about financial situation of the Village - Sale of road ends is critical and should happen sooner than later - Do not have the funds to build infrastructure in the Village and therefore, the sale of these road ends is critical - Sense of urgency for road ends to be sold since there needs to be enough water to fight fires and aid in replacing Belcarra's firehall – first responders should be honoured and deserve a safe facility - Belcarra's residents should not have to worry about running out of water | April 6, 2020 |
| April 3, 2020 | Sherry Chisholm | Commentary | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Understands Village is in a precarious financial position and residents will be paying for the new firehall and does not understand why a reserve fund was not set up for this issue in the past | April 6, 2020 |
| April 22, 2020 | The Hubbs Family | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern over Road End lots 20 and 21 being considered for sale as it is an area that encompasses a well-used pedestrian trail - Lots 20 and 21 also encompasses the area that gives access to the community mail boxes and only access point to adjacent driveways - Suggest other roads would be better suited for sale since they are not in regular public use or only access to established homes - This area is considered community green space and habitat corridor - Construction on these lots would result in loss of privacy for neighbouring homeowners and diminish the value of our homes - More consultation needs to be considered before deciding on which roads to sell | April 27, 2020 |
| April 22, 2020 | Paul Kallio | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern as one of the lots is directly beside their home - Believes process should not be rushed - Believes that welcoming new residents into the Village will not help the financial situation since infrastructure costs go up as population goes up | April 27, 2020 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|--|--------------|
| April 25, 2020 | Ron Hicks and Janet Robertson | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Residents living adjacently to parcel 15 - Purchased home specifically because it was adjacent to road end since they were told a public path would be created to provide access to the view of the water - If parcel 15 is sold, significant changes would have to be made to the home - Want to support the community with strategy for increasing revenue into the Village, but propose that the sale of all road ends be halted for now until a better business plan can be presented to the community | May 11, 2020 |
| April 25, 2020 | Ingrid Rice | Support | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern over ability to fight fires without an appropriate water reservoir and water flow - Strongly in favour to sell road ends in order to finance the ability to fight fires - Agrees with undeveloped land being sold but suggests holding on to one waterfront road end so Village has land in reserve for additional parkland or a marina - Tourists and hikers pose a potential fire risk - 20% of properties in Belcarra are boat access only – significant number relying on potentially dry wells to fight any fires - Water flow is not optional, it is a necessity | May 11, 2020 |
| April 26, 2020 | Kim Alfreds | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Suggests privatising water system - Believes property taxes should be higher in order to pay for public utility - Surprised strategy does not include compensation for neighbouring properties of the parcels - Surprised there has not been any consultation in respect to this issue, especially neighbouring properties - Property purchased because there was the road end next to the home - Suggest alternatives for raising revenue such as raising taxes - Wildlife is active on the road end - Concerned that actions of the Village might force them to acquire the road end next to their home | May 11, 2020 |
| May 11, 2020 | George Zhao | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bought home because of the privacy of it - Feels only way to maintain privacy is to buy road ends and feels that this option is unfair since it feels the government is forcing them to buy parcel - Concerns over lost views, privacy, and decrease in value of home | May 25, 2020 |
| May 22, 2020 | Ron Hicks and Janet Robertson | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Opposition to sell parcel 15 - Concerns over losing fire protection as the limiting distance rule is a calculation used to reduce the risk of fire spreading from one property to the next - Met this rule when house was purchased but will not meet this rule when facing a buildable lot, and will not be compliant for insurance - Suggests Village could implement a parcel tax to improve water system for fire protection | June 8, 2020 |

| | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Village could sell road ends that are not waterfront thereby not impacting the Provincial Legislation - Charge those who use road ends for personal use | |
| May 23, 2020 | Deborah Struk | Commentary | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposes that Council charges every property owner that encroaches upon any road end lease, that is to be renewed yearly - This would allow for some revenue prior to sales | June 8, 2020 |
| June 12, 2020 | Colleen McDonald | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Feels decision is being rushed - Road ends were created for water access for non-waterfront properties - Unfair to property owners living beside the road end parcels - Opposed specifically to the Salish Road end as it would deny many people access to the water if sold - Some road ends provide view corridors for people not living on waterfront property - Believes financial stability of the Village needs to be addressed as there seems to be confusion about this issue - Feels Council is selling Belcarra’s future by selling road ends | June 22, 2020 |
| June 17, 2020 | Ralph Dew | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Road end lots do not exist at this point - OCP necessitates a minimum parcel size of 0.50 acre as a prerequisite for the subdivision of land — a size which is very much larger than any of the proposed “road-end lots” - It will cost tens-of-thousands of dollars to create each waterfront “road-end lot” which will result in considerable wasted money given the above restrictions. - It makes no sense to create and sell waterfront “road-end lots” in order to generate funds to lend back to the municipality at the current interest rate | June 22, 2020 |
| June 21, 2020 | Angela Yin | Commentary with concern | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Upper portion of Watson Road is the only frontage for their property at Main avenue - Closure of the upper portion of Watson Road would result in property being land-locked | July 6, 2020 |
| June 22, 2020 | Joe and Angela Pelliccia | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern over parcel being sold where access trail is between Main Ave and Bay Rd. - Trail is used daily by children - Suggest plan in place for safety measures along Bedwell Bay to ensure children’s safety such as sidewalks with collision protection - Parcel is extremely small - Supportive of development but will not support development on a trail where children use daily | July 6, 2020 |
| June 22, 2020 | Hubbs Family | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concern over development of Taylor Road - Access to property is through Taylor Rd - Concerns over safe pedestrian access - Believe there are alternative ways to generate revenue that do not jeopardize the property’s value, safety and quality | July 6, 2020 |

| | | | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------|--|--------------------|
| June 22, 2020 | Judy Mackenzie | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Opposed to sale of parcel on Taylor Road Community Trail Corridor - Many people use this trail - When bought adjacent property, assured it could not be built on - Small parcel, narrow lots would change ambiance of Bedwell Bay - Oppose to cutting down the trees | July 6, 2020 |
| June 23, 2020 | Bonni and Brian Marshall | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Opposed to selling Taylor Rd. allowance - Safe trail used by many year-round | July 6, 2020 |
| August 3, 2020 | Angela Yin | Opposed | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concerns over Upper Watson Rd right of way access - Lot x would be land locked if Upper Watson Rd was closed by the Village - Covered by mature trees, if cleared it would negatively impact our community - Concerns over loss of property value | September 14, 2020 |

Total Correspondence Received 20
 Opposed 13
 Support 4
 Commentary 3